# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44274 New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 RN101887891

Docket No. 2012-1164-PST-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

Media:

**PST** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

**Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:** 

Delta Food Mart 2, 705 Texas Avenue, Bridge City, Orange County

**Type of Operation:** 

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: October 12, 2012

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$17,550

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$3,510 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$390 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$13,650

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$390 each

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

**Applicable Penalty Policy:** September 2011

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44274 New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 RN101887891 Docket No. 2012-1164-PST-E

# **Investigation Information**

**Complaint Date(s):** N/A

**Complaint Information:** N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: October 21, 2011

**Date(s) of NOE(s):** May 16, 2012

### Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].

### Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

### **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

Respondent provided proper corrosion protection for the UST system at the Facility on June 18, 2012.

### **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days, implement a release detection method for the USTs at the Facility; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEO Enforcement Coordinator: David Carney, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 4, MC 149, (512) 239-2583; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44274 New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 RN101887891 Docket No. 2012-1164-PST-E

Respondent: Ali Mohammed, President, New Delta Business LLC, 1307 Kyle Hill

Lane, Sugar Land, Texas 77479 Respondent's Attorney: N/A



#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 21-May-2012 PCW 29-Jun-2012 Screening 4-Jun-2012 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101887891 Facility/Site Region 10-Beaumont Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 44274 No. of Violations 2 Docket No. 2012-1164-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator David Carney Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 4 Maximum \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section \$15,000 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$3,300 **Compliance History** 22.0% Enhancement Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations and one agreed Notes order with denial of liability. \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$750 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$380 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$7,500 \$17,550 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$17,550 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$17,550 -\$3,510 20.0% Reduction Adjustment Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only;

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$14,040

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

**PCW** 

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

Enter Number Here Adjust.

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2

Case ID No. 44274

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101887891

>>

>>

>>

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator David Carney

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component Number of...

	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
NOVs	Other written NOVs	100	2%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)		20%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
A continu	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	<b>,</b>	ease Enter Yes or No	т — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	ototal 2)	2
eat Violator (	Subtotal 3)			
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)	0
pliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Average Pe	erformer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)	0
pliance Histo	ory Summary			
Compliance	Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations and one agreed order with de	enial of liability.		

Final Adjustment Percentage \*capped at 100%

Screening Date		PCW
	New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2	Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.		PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank	and the second s
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	20 Toy Admin, Codo S 224 F0/bW/WW and Toy Water Code S 26 26	75(5)(1)
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.347	(5(C)(1)
	Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for release	sata
Violation Description	frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between	
	monitoring).	
	Page	e Penalty \$25,000
	Das	# Fellalty \$23,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Release	<b>Harm</b> Major Moderate Minor	Milkhadra
OR Actual	major rioderace minor	·
Potential	x Percent 30.0%	
		annum an
>>Programmatic Matrix	Mojay Modovsky Minay	Western .
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%	destination of the second
	, creent 0.070	The state of the s
	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exc otective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the vio	
	Adjustment	\$17,500
	·····	417,300
		\$7,500
Violation Events		
Number of \	/iolation Events 1 19 Number of violation	days
	daily	
	weekly weekly	
mark anh an	monthly x	
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base	Penalty \$7,500
	semiannual annual	
	single event	
One monthl	y event is recommended from the May 16, 2012 record review date to the	June 4.
	2012 screening date.	donatile or or o
	999	
<b>Good Faith Efforts to Com</b>	ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
ALITATA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	анимана
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	Extraordinary	Hannes and the second s
THE	Ordinary (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	eA Production
	ins voaudi,	
THE PARTY OF THE P	Violation	Subtotal \$7,500
MILL BELLEVILLE		300totai \$7,300
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit	Test
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$103 Violation Final Pena	ilty Total \$9,150
-		
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$9,150

	. 1	orage Tank		*************		Percent Interest	Years of Depreciatio
						5.0	1
Item Description		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Delayed Costs Equipment	' <del></del>	T 7		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	639		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction		6.0866		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	# 1111100000000000000000000000000000000						
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0		\$0
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal						n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$1.500 Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a	n/a n/a n/a n/a t the Facility. The D	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	st to implement a the investigation	release detection date and the Fi	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37 on meth	\$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a e is the estimated	n/a n/a n/a n/a t the Facility. The D date of compliance	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required (
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated co	st to implement a the investigation	release detection date and the Fi	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37 on methinal Dat	\$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a e is the estimated	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a the Facility. The D date of compliance	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required ,
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated co	st to implement a the investigation	release detection date and the Fi	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37 on methinal Dat	\$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a te is the estimated of item (except in \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a t the Facility. The Di date of compliance for one-time avoic	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required (
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to implement a the investigation	release detection date and the Fi	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37 on methinal Dat	\$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a e is the estimated	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a the Facility. The D date of compliance	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required ( , , , ded costs) \$0 \$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to implement a the investigation	release detection date and the Fi	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37 on methanal Date	\$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a se is the estimated ng item (except in \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a t the Facility. The Di date of compliance  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co	st to implement a the investigation	release detection date and the Fi	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37 on methanal Dat enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a se is the estimated ng item (except i \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a t the Facility. The Date of compliance  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co	st to implement a the investigation	release detection date and the Fi	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.37 on methinal Dat enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$103 nod for the USTs a e is the estimated gitem (except i \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a t the Facility. The Dadate of compliance  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$103 ate Required ( ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

	eening Date			ket No. 2012-1164-PST-E		PCW
			s LLC dba Delta Food Ma	rt 2		3 (September 2011)
	Case ID No.	X.			PCW Revi	sion August 3, 2011
	ference No.	Petroleum Storage	Tank			
	la (Statute) Coordinator		: Idilk	1 A1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		la. A
\$186886666666666666777766886666667777	lation Number	***************************************				
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tey Adm	nin Code 8 334 49/a V/1)	and Tex. Water Code § 26.347	5(d)	
		JO TEX. AUT	mr. code 9 334.43(a)(1)	and rex. Water Code 9 20.347	3(0)	
Violati	on Description	Failed to	provide proper corrosion	protection for the UST system		
						·
				Bas	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme	ental, Proper	rty and Human				
	Release	e Major N	Harm Moderate Minor			
OR	Actual					
	Potential			Percent 30.0%		
	**********************					
>>Programm		Major 1	1oderate Minor			
	Falsification	Major N	ioderate inflior	Percent 0.0%		
	Beninsidanini	Bearing the second bear	الغطيفينيونيو مصنعت البنينج مصنوبين			
			A Tay I	4.000 (10.000 )		
Matrix Notes				d to pollutants which would exc receptors as a result of the vio		
Wotes		Totalino.				
					#17 500	
				Adjustment	\$17,500	
						\$7,500
	•					
Violation Ever	IIS					
	Number of	Violation Events		19 Number of violation	days	
		linear.				
		daily				
		weekly				
	mark only one	monthly quarterly	X :: X	Violation Bas	e Penalty	\$7,500
	with an x	semiannual		Troideron Bus		7.7333
		annual				
		single event				
	One monthly	event is recommend	· " · " · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 record review date to the Jui	ne 4, 2012	
			screening date.			
<b>Good Faith Ef</b>	forts to Com		10.0% Reduction			\$750
		Extraordinary	Sefore NOV NOV to EDPRP/Se	ettlement Offer		
		Ordinary	×			
		N/A	(mark with x)			
		MATACII	: : : :: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	compliance on June 18, 2012 ement dated May 16, 2012.		
			arter the Notice of Eniorc	emerk dated may 16, 2012.		
		<u> </u>		* ** . * . * *	Cube-t-	46 350
				Violation	Subtotal	\$6,750
Economic Ben	iefit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	<b>.</b>
	Estimat	ted EB Amount	\$277	Violation Final Pena	alty Total	\$8,400
			This violation Final A	ssessed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits)	\$8,400
				, , ,	_,	1-1-2-

	E-1	conomic	Reneur	wo	rksneet		
Respondent	New Delta Bus	iness LLC dba De	lta Food Mart 2	***************************************			
Case ID No.	2-						
a. Ent. Reference No.	61				11.00	***	
	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank			4 1 4		Years of
Violation No.		2				Percent Interest	Depreciation
• rotation ito.	_					5.0	1!
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$6,000	21-Oct-2011	18-Jun-2012	0.66	\$13	\$264	\$277
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)							
	Estimated	cost to provide pr	oper corrosion	0.00 protect	\$0 ion for the UST sv	n/a // stem. The Date Red	\$0 uired is the
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		investigati	on date and the	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ion for the UST sy Date is the date o ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	stem. The Date Req f compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	uired is the  led costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$
Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  pection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment  Financial Assurance [2]		investigati	on date and the	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ion for the UST sy Date is the date o ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	stem. The Date Req f compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	uired is the  led costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		investigati	on date and the	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ion for the UST sy Date is the date o ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	stem. The Date Req f compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	uired is the  led costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$

## **Compliance History Report**

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN603945080

New Delta Business LLC

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 40.20

Regulated Entity:

RN101887891

Delta Food Mart 2

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 40.20

ID Number(s):

Location:

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK

**REGISTRATION 49045** 

705 TEXAS AVENUE, BRIDGE CITY, TEXAS, 77611

TCEQ Region:

**REGION 10 - BEAUMONT** 

Date Compliance History Prepared:

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

June 04, 2012

Compliance Period:

June 04, 2007 to June 04, 2012

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

**David Carney** 

Phone:

(512) 239 - 2583

Name:

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

YES

3. If YES, who is the current owner/operator?

New Delta Business LLC, owner/operator since 01/01/2012 Shuiat Holding Company, owner, 9/1/2009 to 1/1/2012

4. If YES, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

Delta Bevco, Inc., owner/operator, 12/5/2000 to 9/1/2009 New Delta Business LLC, operator, 9/1/2009 to 1/1/2012

5. If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? 1/1/2012

6. Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator:

# Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 02/25/2008

ADMINORDER 2007-1353-PST-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(4) 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(5)

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(7)(A)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to maintain records on-site and immediately available for review.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(1)(C)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to have an Onboard Refueling Vapor Recovery (ORVR) compatible Stage II vapor

recovery system, as required by 30 TAC 115.242(1)(C), installed by April 1, 2007.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(9)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382,085(b)

Description: Failure to post operating instructions on the front of each dispenser equipped with a Stage II

system.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(L)

Description: Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including the absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. B.

N/A

Chronic excessive emissions events.

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.

(568286)08/13/2007 1 08/01/2008 (685940)01/07/2009 (708142)3

04/13/2010 5 05/16/2012 (975868)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

08/01/2008 Date:

CN603945080

Classification: Moderate

Self Report? NO Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.244(3)

Description:

Failure to conduct Stage II vapor recovery system monthly inspections.

Self Report? Citation:

NO

(685940)

(759367)

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(1)

Description:

Failed to maintain a copy of the correct California Air Resources Board (CARB)

Executive Order for the Stage II system.

Classification: Moderate Self Report? NO 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(2) Citation: Failure to successfully conduct a Stage II vapor recovery test. Description: Self Report? Classification: Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(5) Citation: Description: Failure to maintain copies of test results on-site indefinitely. Self Report? Classification: Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(6) Citation: Description: Failure to submit test results to the TCEQ within 10 working days following Stage Il vapor recovery testing Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(J) Failure to maintain Stage I drybreaks. Description: Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(A) Citation: Failure to install swivel adapters for the Stage II system. Description: Environmental audits. N/A Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). N/A Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. N/A Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. N/A Early compliance. N/A Sites Outside of Texas

F.

G.

H.

N/A

# Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
NEW DELTA BUSINESS LLC DBA	§	
DELTA FOOD MART 2	§	
RN101887891	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-1164-PST-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 705 Texas Avenue in Bridge City, Orange County, Texas ("the Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's four underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 21, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seventeen Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$17,550) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Three Hundred Ninety Dollars

(\$3,90) of the administrative penalty and Three Thousand Five Hundred Ten Dollars (\$3,510) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Thirteen Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$13,650) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Three Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$390) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent provided proper corrosion protection for the UST system at the Facility on June 18, 2012.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

### II. ALLEGATIONS

As operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an

New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 DOCKET NO. 2012-1164-PST-E Page 3

investigation conducted on October 21, 2011 and a record review conducted on May 16, 2012.

2. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 21, 2011 and a record review conducted on May 16, 2012.

### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2, Docket No. 2012-1164-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement a release detection method for the USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
  - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false

New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 DOCKET NO. 2012-1164-PST-E Page 4

information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Beaumont Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 3870 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission,

New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2 DOCKET NO. 2012-1164-PST-E Page 5

including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Authorized Representative of

New Delta Business LLC dba Delta Food Mart 2

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission
Perns davering 11/16/12  For the Executive Director Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:  A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.  In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
His mohammed Name (Printed or typed)  Title  Title

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.